Penetrating Chest Injury

Penetrating trauma of the chest can have severe and devastating consequences. Advances in ultrasound and treatment therapies have improved survival. A high degree of suspicion is indicated to identify the more subtle injuries associated with penetrating trauma to the chest.

— Ann M. Dietrich, MD, Editor

Introduction

Across the United States, injuries due to trauma account for 41 million emergency department (ED) visits annually. Of these, 3.3 million require hospital admission and 192,000 patients succumb to their injuries. In the United States, trauma is the leading cause of death for those 1-46 years of age and the third leading cause of mortality across all age groups. Penetrating thoracic trauma, although less common than blunt trauma, portends a higher mortality. Nine percent of all trauma-related deaths are from thoracic injuries, 33% of which are due to penetrating trauma. Although there is geographic variation, in the United States, firearms and stab wounds account for most injuries that involve the thoracic wall. Other less common mechanisms of penetrating thoracic trauma are impalement during industrial accidents, falls, collisions, and blast injuries. The prevalence of firearm and knife-related injuries is a burden to our communities, both fundamentally and economically. In 2014, initial hospitalizations for firearm-related injuries in the United States cost an average of $735 million per year and resulted in more than 33,700 deaths.

To best understand the evaluation of penetrating trauma victims, it is important to have a basic knowledge of ballistics. The damage inflicted on a patient is proportional to the characteristics of the weapon, the energy of the object, and the tissue being penetrated. More specifically, the size of the penetrating object and the deformability and density of the tissue being penetrated all correlate with the extent of damage inflicted. For example, dense tissues sustain greater damage than less dense tissues do. Penetrating chest trauma can be broken down into two main categories based on the speed of the penetrating missile. There are low-velocity and high-velocity missiles. The most common low-velocity injury occurs...
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The shock index and MGAP (Mechanism, Glasgow coma scale, Age, and Arterial Pressure) score are tools to risk stratify trauma patients.
- A meta-analysis that reviewed 25 years of published data regarding the survival of emergency department (ED) thoracotomies found an overall survival rate of 7.4%.
- The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma has guidelines specifying when ED thoracotomy is appropriate. The guidelines concluded that patients who present pulseless but with signs of life after penetrating thoracic injury should undergo an ED thoracotomy.
- Portable chest X-ray and thoracic ultrasonography (focused assessment with sonography in trauma [FAST] exam) are the modalities of choice in patients not stable enough to leave the ED.
- Stable patients with trans-mediastinal injuries should receive a cardiac FAST and CT angiogram to exclude life-threatening injuries (combined negative predictive value of 100%).
- The treatment of rib fractures is mostly supportive. Ensuring proper ventilation, via pain control, and/or noninvasive or invasive measures, is the highest priority, especially in the elderly population.

when a patient sustains a stab wound. Low-velocity missiles refer to projectiles traveling at less than 350 m/s. The most common high-velocity injuries include bullets dispatched from firearms. (See Figure 1.) Firearms generally release bullets at speeds of 600-700 m/s. This is the speed at which explosive effects commonly are seen. Distinguishing between the two types is relevant in the evaluation of the trauma patient because high-velocity missiles cause injury to the structures adjacent to their path. In addition, the path of high-velocity missiles is not always straight. In penetrating chest trauma, specifically, the trajectory of the missile may be altered by structures within the thoracic cavity.

General Emergency Department Approach

By definition, penetrating chest trauma requires a violation of the chest wall. The chest wall is made of soft tissue and bone. Skin, fasciae, and muscle overlay the rib cage and sternum. The major organs within the thorax are prone to injuries when the chest wall has been violated. The lungs, heart, great vessels, tracheobronchial tree, esophagus, and diaphragm can be injured individually or simultaneously during trauma. Each will be discussed in greater detail in the sections that follow.

The most common mechanisms by which a patient succumbs to early death include airway obstruction, loss of oxygenation or ventilation, exsanguination, cardiac failure, cardiac tamponade, and air embolism. In chest trauma, initial vital signs can be falsely reassuring. Scoring systems have been created to identify those trauma patients with compensated shock who may decompensate quickly. The shock index (heart rate/systolic blood pressure) is one example. Retrospective studies evaluating the ability of an abnormal shock index (> 0.9) to identify those potentially sick patients have shown this to be a useful tool in predicting mortality. As a prehospital triage tool, the shock index has led to earlier activation of trauma resources and, in turn, better outcomes for patients.

The MGAP score is a newer scoring system that seeks to improve the ability to risk stratify those patients who are likely to have worse outcomes. MGAP is an acronym for Mechanism, Glasgow coma scale, Age, and Arterial Pressure. Sartorius et al defined three groups of patients whose MGAP scores predict morbidity and mortality: The risk of death is low in patients with MGAP scores of 23-29; intermediate in patients with MGAP scores of 18-22, and high in patients with MGAP scores of 3-17. Although used less frequently than the shock index, a recent cross-sectional descriptive study showed this to be an effective prehospital and hospital scoring system for risk stratifying the potentially sick, multiple trauma patient.

The Arresting Patient

Traumatic cardiac arrest is associated with very high mortality rates. Although statistics vary, overall survival seems to be between 0-17%. The physician in the trauma bay must predict which patients have the best chance of survival, and what procedures should and should not be performed during a trauma code. However, these questions do not have simple answers. Many would argue that in certain circumstances, resuscitation should not be initiated at all because of the very poor prognosis of patients in traumatic cardiac arrest. In 2013 Leis et al published a study that found a 6.6% survival rate in trauma patients who received advanced life support. They concluded, therefore, that advanced life support should be initiated at least in all traumatic cardiac arrest patients regardless of initial rhythm. However, the authors did not mention the role of closed chest compressions in their description of the resuscitation. Many have argued that closed chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in traumatic arrest patients not only is ineffective, but also takes away the physician’s ability to perform other potentially lifesaving procedures. The rationale is that in traumatic cardiac arrest, the patient most likely has arrested as a result of hemorrhagic shock (exsanguination) or obstructive shock (tension pneumothorax, pericardial tamponade), and closed chest CPR does not fix either problem. However, the data on this are scarce, and further research is required to guide management.

In patients with penetrating chest trauma with either witnessed cardiac arrest or unresponsive hypotension despite vigorous resuscitation, an ED thoracotomy often is indicated. Although it is a high-risk procedure in the resuscitation bay, and one that does not yield very high survival rates, it can be a lifesaving measure. A meta-analysis
that reviewed 25 years of published data regarding the survival of ED thoracotomies found an overall survival rate of 7.4%. The outcome depends in large part on the mechanism and location of injury. Penetrating chest injuries had a better outcome than did blunt chest injuries, and stab wound victims had a better prognosis than did gunshot wound victims. Therefore, this procedure should be considered early in the arresting patient after a penetrating chest injury in a hospital with sufficient surgical backup.

Opening the chest in the ED, via an ED thoracotomy, allows the physician to perform several lifesaving procedures. The physician can release a pericardial tamponade, repair a cardiac injury, control hilar bleeding, cross clamp the aorta, or restart the heart. Since it was first described in 1950, the specific circumstances for which an ED thoracotomy is appropriate have been debated. The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) has guidelines specifying when this procedure is appropriate. The guidelines concluded that patients who present pulseless but with signs of life after penetrating thoracic injury should undergo an ED thoracotomy. The guidelines could only conditionally recommend resuscitative ED thoracotomy in patients who present pulseless without signs of life, due to more limited data.  

Although the procedure itself has not changed drastically over the years, most ED physicians do not perform it routinely. Before a resuscitative thoracotomy is performed, the patient should be intubated. Selectively intubating the right lung by advancing the endotracheal (ET) tube to a depth of 30 cm causes a collapse of the left lung, allowing for better visualization once the left chest is open. If the decision is made to perform CPR, do not halt compressions until just prior to making the initial incision. The physician should be clothed with sterile gloves, a gown, and mask. The left chest should be prep ed antiseptically, and the patient’s left arm should be raised above the head to identify the landmarks better and to create a wider space between the ribs. An anterolateral incision at the fourth to fifth intercostal space with a no. 20 blade should be made. The incision should be extended past the posterior axillary line. Scissors are used to cut the intercostal muscles and expose the thoracic cavity. A rib spreader is placed between the ribs with the handle directed downward. Once the chest is open, rapidly finding and controlling the site of injury is essential to the patient’s survival. The evaluation and management of each individual penetrating intrathoracic injury are discussed in more detail in the sections that follow.

**The Unstable Patient**

As in all critically ill patients, an algorithmic approach to the unstable trauma patient is essential for effective management. As highlighted in the Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) guidelines set forth by the American College of Surgeons, starting with the ABCs (airway, breathing, and circulation) ensures that essential actions are not missed. The guidelines emphasize the dogma that the physician must not move to the next step until the prior one has been addressed. The diagnostic approach to the unstable trauma patient has changed as ultrasound equipment has become more available, portable, and higher in resolution. In the unstable patient who has sustained penetrating chest trauma, a CT scan may not be an option because of the risk of the patient decompensating. Portable chest X-ray and thoracic ultrasonography (focused assessment with sonography in trauma [FAST] exam) are the modalities of choice in patients not stable enough to leave the ED. In fact, studies have shown thoracic ultrasonography, in the hands of an experienced physician, to be far more sensitive than chest X-rays in diagnosing both pleural effusions and pneumothoraces.

With regard to the unstable patient with suspected cardiac injury, thoracic ultrasound has proven to be the diagnostic modality of choice as well. It is both sensitive and specific for identifying pericardial effusion and tamponade. In the unstable, bleeding patient, autotransfusion has become a topic of interest. Autotransfusion, also known as cell salvage, is the collection of blood from a bleeding site and the reinfusion of that blood into the same patient. Autotransfusion decreases the need for allogenic blood transfusions. This proves beneficial in patients with rare blood types, those at risk of infectious disease transmission, and those presenting at facilities with restricted homologous blood supply. In the penetrating chest trauma patient, the blood used for autologous transfusions is collected directly from the chest tube. Many chest tube collection systems have a port for an autotransfusion canister. Although intraoperative autotransfuser devices contain a filter and centrifuge, in the deteriorating patient, autologous blood can be reinfused into the patient up to six hours after collection without heparin. However, studies have shown that the hemoglobin concentration from the chest tube is, on average, 2 grams less than venous blood and that coagulation factors were significantly lower than in whole blood. Therefore, hesitation comes from the fear that autologous blood can lead to worsening coagulopathy via hemodilution and inflammation. However, in a study from 2015, Rhee et al showed autologous transfusions to be safe and cost effective.

Fluid resuscitation in the trauma patient has been another topic of
debate. Current literature has shown that large volume crystalloid or red blood cell-only fluid resuscitation leads to worsening outcomes by means of dilutional coagulopathy and the dislodgment of clots.\textsuperscript{25} In patients who are expected to require more than 10 units of red blood cells in 24 hours, the administration of blood products (red blood cells, platelets, and fresh frozen plasma) in combination rather than in isolation, termed massive transfusion protocol, has been shown to decrease mortality through improvement of coagulation parameters.\textsuperscript{26} The exact ratio at which these products should be administered, however, remains a subject of debate.

**The Stable Patient**

In the stable trauma patient, an algorithmic approach prevents missing devastating injuries. In the penetrating chest trauma patient, special attention should be given to identifying all penetrating wounds and evidence of retained fragments of the penetrating missile, including rolling the patient. In gunshot victims, an even number of wounds often suggests the missile entered and exited the patient. The diagnostic studies used in a stable patient, unlike in the unstable patient, involve additional modalities. CT scan has become the gold standard for evaluating stable penetrating trauma patients. Ultrasound is a valuable modality that can identify and assist with triage and definitive diagnostic testing.

**Disposition of the Stable Penetrating Trauma Patient**

A key point is the issue of disposition. Deciding whether a penetrating thoracic trauma patient can be discharged home safely is important. In an era when hospital resource utilization is monitored closely, discharging low-risk patients is advantageous for both the patient and the hospital.

In a prospective study, Seamon et al sought to answer this question. They categorized low-risk patients as those who did not have an initial pneumothorax on CT or any indication for going to the operating room. They found that a three-hour repeat chest X-ray was sufficient for ruling out serious thoracic injuries.\textsuperscript{27} The authors noted that some of these initially low-risk patients developed delayed pneumothoraces within the first three hours of injury, but none developed a pneumothorax after that time period. Therefore, the authors concluded that low-risk patients with a negative three-hour chest X-ray are safe to discharge home.

**Rib Fractures and Flail Chest**

The ribs are one of the most commonly injured structures in the thorax. Although rib fractures initially may appear more benign than other types of penetrating chest trauma injuries, patients with multiple rib fractures have poor overall outcomes. In one study, 34\% of patients with multiple rib fractures were discharged to a long-term care facility and 12\% of those died of complications.\textsuperscript{28} The National Trauma Data Bank notes a 10\% mortality rate and a 13\% complication rate with rib fractures.\textsuperscript{29} The hospital course of these patients often is complicated by injuries from the initial assault and/or the sequelae of poor inspiratory effort. Pneumonia, pulmonary effusion, aspiration, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), pulmonary embolism, and atelectasis all are seen in hospitalized patients who have sustained rib fractures.\textsuperscript{30} A close evaluation of the location and number of ribs fractured can give insight into the possibilities of associated injuries. In patients with fractures of the upper ribs (1–2), the great vessels are prone to injury secondary to their anatomic location. Because of the protection provided by the bony and muscular framework of the upper limb, injuries to the first two ribs generally require great force. Mortality rates in blunt and penetrating traumatic upper rib fractures have been noted as high as 30\%.\textsuperscript{30} Injuries to ribs 4–9 occur commonly in blunt trauma and in penetrating trauma. Penetrating injuries in this part of the chest wall often are associated with pneumothoraces, hemothoraces, and lung contusions.\textsuperscript{30} These injuries occur via two possible mechanisms. Either the penetrating missile itself or the subsequently broken ribs puncture the lung parenchyma. Fractures of the lower ribs (9–12) can be accompanied by injuries to the intra-abdominal organs. The spleen, liver, and kidneys are vulnerable to penetrating trauma because of their anatomic location.\textsuperscript{31}

Flail chest occurs when a segment of the rib cage becomes detached from the chest wall. Two or more adjacent ribs break in two or more places, leaving a segment that paradoxically moves with inspiration and expiration. The morbidity from flail chest is not from the paradoxical chest wall movement, but occurs, instead, from the associated injury to the lung parenchyma and the splinting that results in poor inspiratory effort.\textsuperscript{32} The initial evaluation of a penetrating chest trauma victim in whom a rib fracture is suspected should aim specifically at locating the fracture and evaluating for associated injuries. Crepitus, subcutaneous emphysema, pulsatile bleeding, or any obvious deformities can be indications of additional intrathoracic trauma. Patients who are hypoxic, who show signs of shock, or who have multiple injuries require immediate intubation. Bedside ultrasound and portable chest X-ray can be used initially to evaluate for rib fractures and
associated intrathoracic organ injury but should not delay definitive management. However, CT scan remains the gold standard for evaluation of most intrathoracic injuries.\textsuperscript{33}

The treatment of rib fractures is mostly supportive. Ensuring proper ventilation, via pain control, and/or noninvasive or invasive measures, is the highest priority, especially in the elderly population. Poor inspiratory effort leads to the sequelae of in-hospital complications. A shift in the therapeutic regimens for pain control has taken place, and now often involves a multimodal approach. Multimodal pain therapy combines opioid analgesics with non-opioid medications. Studies have proven scheduled intravenous nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (i.e., ketorolac) lead to lower opioid requirements and improved pain scores.\textsuperscript{34}

Catheter-based analgesia, however, has shown mixed benefits. In a meta-analysis published by EAST, epidural catheter-based pain management showed lower pain scores at 24 and 48 hours, but not at 72 hours after intervention.\textsuperscript{35} Therefore, the EAST guidelines only conditionally recommend epidural anesthesia because of limited evidence of its effectiveness. On the other hand, other catheter-based interventions (paravertebral nerve blocks, continuous intrapleural infusions, and continuous intercostal infusions) did not show significant differences in pain scores over other modalities.\textsuperscript{35} Operative stabilization in patients with flail chest, the most invasive intervention suggested, has been shown to be associated with shorter ventilator duration, shorter ICU stay, and decreased risk of developing pneumonia.\textsuperscript{36}

The disposition for patients with rib fractures depends on the extent of the injuries and other organs involved. Patients with isolated rib fractures can be discharged home safely with analgesia and an incentive spirometer. However, the morbidity and mortality associated with rib fractures in the pediatric and geriatric populations is exponentially higher than in the adult population. In fact, studies have shown that for each additional rib fracture in a geriatric trauma patient, mortality increases by 19%.\textsuperscript{37} The pediatric skeleton is more pliable and takes greater force to fracture. Therefore, the presence of rib fractures should alert the practitioner to more severe injuries. (\textit{See Figure 2.}) Therefore, geriatric and pediatric patients with multiple rib fractures often require admission to the ICU for close monitoring. Additionally, a lack of rib fractures cannot predict the absence of intrathoracic injury.

**Pneumothorax**

A traumatic pneumothorax is one of the most common injuries sustained following penetrating thoracic trauma. A pneumothorax is the presence of air between the parietal and visceral pleura. It occurs from injuries sustained either to the lung parenchyma or the tracheobronchial tree. Pneumothoraces result in ventilation-perfusion mismatches via shunting; blood continues to perfuse areas of the lung that are poorly oxygenated. Air within the enclosed intrathoracic space, analogous to blood within the skull in traumatic intracranial hemorrhages, applies pressure to the lung, which ultimately can lead to its collapse. Pneumothoraces can be divided into several categories: simple pneumothorax, open pneumothorax, and tension pneumothorax. A simple pneumothorax is defined as one that is non-expanding. An open pneumothorax, often colloquially called a sucking chest wound, is seen commonly in penetrating traumas and is an unsealed opening in the chest wall. (\textit{See Figure 3.}) This creates complications through its alteration of the vacuum normally present within the pleural cavity, which prevents the lungs from fully expanding. A tension pneumothorax, the most life-threatening of the three, presents a true emergency. When the pressure in the thoracic cavity becomes high enough to shift the mediastinal contents and cause insufficient blood return to the heart, the patient is at high risk of rapid decompensation.

Diagnosing a pneumothorax often can be accomplished by physical exam alone. In an unstable patient with unilateral absent breath sounds or a sucking chest wound, the physician should not wait for imaging before intervening. However, in unstable patients in whom the diagnosis is less clear, ultrasound has become the modality of choice. Although in the past a chest X-ray was considered the best tool for diagnosing pneumothorax in the trauma bay, a paradigm shift has taken place. In an evidence-based review, Wilkerson et al found thoracic ultrasound to be superior to chest X-ray for detecting pneumothorax. They found an 86–98% sensitivity and 97–100% specificity as compared to chest X-ray, which had a much lower sensitivity of 28–75%.

The bedside lung ultrasound in emergency (BLUE) protocol for assessing the patient in acute respiratory failure highlights the techniques for diagnosing a pneumothorax on ultrasound.\textsuperscript{39} Using a high-frequency probe, the practitioner looks through the ribs for the presence or absence of lung sliding. In a normal patient, lung sliding signifies the parietal and visceral pleura sliding past each other. On the other hand, the absence of lung sliding represents a pneumothorax. A very specific finding, one named the lung point, represents the point at which the inflated lung meets the pneumothorax. The ultrasound’s M mode can be a useful tool as well in looking for motion at one point. In this mode, the “bar code” sign represents the lack of normal lung sliding.\textsuperscript{40} Despite convincing data, if the patient is stable enough, CT scan still is considered the gold standard for diagnosing a pneumothorax.

The definitive management for pneumothorax is a tube thoracostomy. The urgency with which it should be placed, and whether the pneumothorax will

---

**Figure 3. Open Pneumothorax**

This image shows an open chest and sucking open pneumothorax after impalement. Image courtesy of A. Adewale, MD.
resorb without one, depends on the percent volume involved. In patients with less than a 20% pneumothorax, treatment with 100% oxygen and close monitoring often leads to resolution.\(^{20}\)

On the other hand, a tension pneumothorax requires emergent intervention. In the prehospital setting, the management for a tension pneumothorax is still needle decompression. However, multiple studies have highlighted the pitfalls of this procedure. For example, in the obese population, a conventional catheter often does not reach the thoracic cavity.\(^{41}\) Inaba et al evaluated the optimal positioning for emergent needle thoracostomy. Contrary to common practice, they found that the success rate was highest at the fifth intercostal space, not the second, with an equally low complication rate (cardiac, lung, aorta, liver injury).\(^{42}\)

For simple pneumothoraces, Kulvatunyou et al showed that a 14F pigtail catheter was sufficient. They found smaller catheters to have the same efficacy with reduced pain.\(^{43}\) Lastly, for open pneumothoraces, the prehospital treatment is a sterile occlusive dressing taped on three sides. A completely occlusive dressing risks an open pneumothorax becoming a tension pneumothorax. As in the other types, definitive treatment for an open pneumothorax is the placement of a tube thoracostomy. (See Figure 4.)

**Hemothorax**

A hemothorax is an accumulation of blood within the thoracic cavity. It is caused by injury to the intercostal vessels, the lung parenchyma, the internal mammary artery, or the great vessels.\(^{20}\) Much like a pneumothorax, a hemothorax limits the lungs’ ability to expand, leading to a ventilation-perfusion mismatch. Because the hemothorax can hold up to four liters of volume, patients rapidly bleeding into this cavity can exsanguinate. As a result, patients who sustain penetrating injuries to the great vessels often succumb to their injuries in the field. On the other hand, injury to the smaller vessels causes blood to accumulate slowly within the thoracic cavity, leading to the typical symptoms of pain, dyspnea, and tachypnea. (See Figures 5 and 6.)

The thoracic duct is another structure prone to injury in penetrating thoracic traumas. It is located within the left hemithorax and collects most of the lymph that circulates throughout the body. Injury to this structure leads to an entity called a chylothorax, which is the accumulation of this lymphatic fluid within the thorax. The symptoms are indistinguishable from a hemothorax, and the diagnosis most often is made when the milky appearing chyle drains from the chest tube.

The diagnosis of a hemothorax in penetrating chest trauma initially is based on the history and physical exam. The first diagnostic modality of choice remains a chest X-ray. However, it must be noted that chest radiographs can miss up to 1,000 mL of blood in the supine patient.\(^{44}\) As with a pneumothorax, ultrasound has been used as a modality for diagnosing hemothorax as well. Although not as sensitive in diagnosing a hemothorax as a pneumothorax, studies have shown ultrasound to be more effective than chest X-ray in diagnosing small amounts of fluid within the thoracic cavity.\(^{45}\) However, CT imaging remains the modality of choice because of its high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing a hemothorax.\(^{46}\)

The management of hemothorax after a penetrating wound involves a tube thoracostomy. Inaba et al evaluated whether the size of the chest tube affects complication rates. When comparing 28F chest tubes to 40F tubes, they found a similar rate of complications, a similar efficacy of drainage, and a similar level of pain, leading them to conclude that size does not matter.\(^{47}\)

The chest radiograph above shows a male patient after a gunshot wound to the thorax with associated retained bullet fragments, right-sided hemothorax and pulmonary contusion, and subcutaneous emphysema. Image courtesy of A. Adewale, MD.
Because the full effect of pulmonary contusions depends on the severity, the presence of associated injuries, and the comorbid conditions of the patient. Again, because the full effect of pulmonary contusions does not develop until 24 to 48 hours after initial injury, it is important to monitor these patients closely. The mainstays of treatment are preventing respiratory failure and ensuring adequate blood oxygenation. Large contusions are challenging to manage. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation can be useful for keeping collapsed alveoli open. However, patients with significant respiratory distress or those who are altered from other injuries require intubation. Injured lungs are prone to becoming stiff; therefore, high pressure settings on the ventilator often are required. The ARDS protocol was created to manage patients such as these. It allows for appropriate oxygenation in stiff and injured lungs.

Although the physician must take care to avoid excessive volume resuscitation, the EAST guidelines gave a level 2 recommendation against excessive fluid resuscitation of patients with pulmonary contusions. The guidelines recommend resuscitating with isotonic crystalloid or colloid solution until there are signs of adequate tissue perfusion. Once the patient is properly resuscitated, however, the guidelines recommend against unnecessary fluid administration. As in patients with multiple rib fractures, the guidelines also emphasize the use of optimal analgesia and aggressive chest physiotherapy to decrease the likelihood of respiratory failure.

### Transmediastinal Injury

The mediastinum contains many vital structures. It can be divided into the superior and inferior mediastinum. The superior mediastinum contains the thymus, brachiocephalic veins, superior vena cava, azygous vein, aortic arch, pulmonary arteries, vagus nerve, and phrenic nerves. The inferior mediastinum is subdivided further into anterior, middle, and posterior. The anterior mediastinum contains the caudal thymus gland and sternopericardial ligaments. The middle mediastinum contains the heart and great vessels along with the phrenic nerves. The posterior mediastinum contains the descending aorta, esophagus, thoracic duct, sympathetic chains, vagus nerves, and hemiazygos vein. In penetrating chest trauma, great vessel injuries (superior vena cava, inferior vena cava, pulmonary arteries, pulmonary veins, and the aorta) often cause rapid hemorrhagic shock. As mentioned previously, these injuries have a very high mortality, and patients often exsanguinate before arriving in the ED.

The diagnostic approach to transmediastinal injuries has changed over the years. As always, unstable patients need definitive and rapid intervention with the trauma surgeon in the operating room. The arresting patient often requires an ED thoracotomy. Traditionally, stable patients have received a very extensive evaluation, including an endoscopy, contrast swallow, angiography, and echocardiography. However, recent data have shown that a cardiac FAST exam and a CT angiogram are sufficient to rule out life-threatening injuries safely. When combined, they have a negative predictive value of 100%. A patient with penetrating chest trauma and a positive cardiac FAST needs intervention in the operating room. If the FAST is negative, the patient should have a CT angiogram performed. If there is obvious injury, the patient will require an interventional radiologist or surgeon to correct it definitively. If the scan is equivocal, the provider should perform the traditional, more extensive method for evaluation, which includes a bronchoscopy, angiography, esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), and a swallow study.

The decision to perform an ED thoracotomy must be made within minutes. The goal, specifically in penetrating mediastinal injuries, is to locate and control great vessel or cardiac bleeding. In the ED setting, great vessel bleeds must be found and repaired using non-absorbable sutures. In patients who are exsanguinating, and in whom an intrathoracic bleed cannot be located, cross clamping the aorta may be necessary as a temporary measure to shunt blood toward the body’s two most vital organs, the heart and brain. Although often difficult to identify, the aorta can be found lying anterior to the vertebral body and posterior to the esophagus. Once identified, a vascular clamp is used to occlude the descending aorta and obtain temporary hemostasis. In all cases of transmediastinal injuries, the physician must
Cardiac Injuries

The prehospital mortality for penetrating cardiac injuries is approximately 86%.54 Cardiac injury should be suspected when the penetrating missile traverses “the precordial box.” The precordial box is the anatomic area within the thorax defined superiorly by the clavicles and sternal notch, laterally by the nipple line, and inferiorly by the xyphoid. In penetrating cardiac injuries, as opposed to blunt injuries, tamponade is less common because a laceration of the pericardium allows the blood to be released from the pericardial sac. (See Figure 7.) It remains important, however, to keep this differential diagnosis in mind in any unstable trauma patient. The physician should look for components of Beck’s triad, which can raise suspicion for cardiac tamponade. This triad consists of hypotension, jugular venous distention, and muffled heart sounds.

Ultrasound has become one of the most valuable tools in diagnosing cardiac injuries, particularly cardiac tamponade. The physician should keep in mind, however, that the absence of a pericardial effusion in patients with penetrating thoracic trauma does not rule out cardiac injuries, for the reasons stated above.55 Therefore, any violation to the precordial box requires a thorough workup, which includes a CT scan and a formal echocardiogram.

Definitive treatment for the crashing or arresting patient in whom a cardiac injury is suspected is an ED thoracotomy. Once the thoracic cavity is open and can be visualized, the area should be evaluated for injuries. If tamponade is present or suspected, a pericardiotomy should be performed to release fluid from the pericardial sac. When making the initial incision through the pericardium, care should be taken to avoid injury to the phrenic nerve. The phrenic nerve should be identified first and an incision should be made anterior and parallel to it. For penetrating wounds to the myocardium, a finger can be placed over the defect while awaiting definitive care. Another temporary measure is placing a Foley catheter through the wound to control bleeding. (See Figure 7B.) The balloon is inflated and the defect is occluded by pulling pressure. Penetrating myocardial injuries are closed definitively with surgical staples or with non-absorbable sutures. Although less common in penetrating trauma than blunt trauma, patients in a lethal arrhythmia can be resuscitated via internal defibrillation while the thorax is open. Internal paddles are placed on the anterior and posterior aspects of the heart, and a shock is administered directly to the myocardium. In an arresting patient, direct cardiac compressions can be performed in an open thorax as well.19

Tracheobronchial Injury

Tracheobronchial injuries include lacerations to the trachea or bronchi. Injuries to these structures allow air to accumulate within the pleural cavity, the mediastinum, and soft tissues. Although only seen in about 0.5% of trauma patients, the mortality rates remain very high.56 Complete lacerations to the trachea or bronchi lead to asphyxiation from an inability to keep the lung inflated. In about 30% of injuries that penetrate the tracheobronchial network, esophageal and major vascular injuries occur as well. Therefore, keeping a high suspicion for associated injuries is essential.57 Patients complaining of dyspnea, stridor, or hemoptysis after a penetrating thoracic or neck trauma must have tracheobronchial injuries included in their differential diagnosis. On physical exam, a crunching sound heard around the heart, referred to as Hamman’s crunch, and crepitus in the subcutaneous tissues are often present.58

Diagnosing tracheobronchial injuries can be difficult, and they often are missed initially if a high index of suspicion is not maintained. Although nonspecific, the first diagnostic modality of choice is a chest X-ray. Pneumomediastinum, subcutaneous air, and a pneumothorax that persists despite tube thoracostomy should increase the suspicion for this injury. CT scans are the best noninvasive modality of choice, but they miss up to 10% of tracheobronchial injuries.59 Therefore, if the CT scan is equivocal, further imaging is required with tracheobronchoscopy, which remains the gold standard.60

The initial goals in treating tracheobronchial injuries include stabilizing the airway and defining the extent of the injury.59 Care must be taken because positive pressure ventilation and/or the placement of an endotracheal tube itself can convert a partial injury into a complete transection.59 Because these injuries often present as a pneumothorax, a chest tube should be placed. The presence of a persistent air leak is highly suggestive of tracheobronchial injury.61

In patients who are not improving,
As mentioned in the section above, if alone often can miss esophageal injuries. Suspension, CT scan with IV contrast is difficult because even with a high clinical suspicion, it should be used with caution in the acute setting because of the risk of additional injury. An esophagram can be performed using a water-soluble contrast to detect injuries initially missed on CT. A flexible esophagogastroduodenoscopy is valuable because it directly visualizes the esophagus, but it should be tamponaded by the liver. In stable patients, delayed surgical management may be preferred because it allows for more minimally invasive techniques. Although laparotomy always has been the invasive approach, has a sensitivity of 88% and specificity approaching 100% for diagnosing diaphragmatic injuries. Thoracoscopy is another modality for patients who have no other injuries requiring emergent operative management. A thoroscope is inserted through a small incision, allowing the surgeon to visualize the lungs, mediastinum, and diaphragm directly.

Most diaphragmatic injuries require surgical management. The exception is small right-sided injuries that can be tamponaded by the liver. In stable patients, delayed surgical management may be preferred because it allows for more minimally invasive techniques. Although laparotomy always has been the treatment of choice for surgical repair of diaphragmatic defects, thoracolaparoscopic intervention is a newer, less invasive method of treatment.

**Diaphragm Injury**

The diaphragm is a muscular structure essential mechanically for normal ventilation and anatomically for separating the negatively pressured thorax from the peritoneal cavity. Therefore, injuries to the diaphragm can lead to significant respiratory compromise or bowel injury. During normal respirations, the diaphragm spans T4 to T12; consequently, a high index of suspicion must be maintained with any injury for which the penetrating missile traverses this area. Diaphragmatic injuries are more common in isolation on the left because it is protected by the liver on the right.

Because of the difficulty in diagnosis, the incidence of occult diaphragmatic injuries has been measured as high as 24%. Diaphragmatic injuries may not be visualized on many imaging modalities. Complications of even small injuries include a nonfunctioning respiratory cavity or intra-abdominal contents herniating into the thorax, causing compression of the lung and/or bowel strangulation. (See Figure 8.)

Diagnostic imaging of these injuries begins with a chest radiograph. Injuries that are large enough cause the bowel to herniate into the thorax, which can be seen on chest X-ray. Additionally, a coiled nasogastric tube in the thoracic cavity is diagnostic of a diaphragmatic injury. However, small injuries often are mistaken for other pathologies on chest X-ray. The sensitivity for a CT scan in diagnosing diaphragmatic injury approaches 84% with a specificity of 77%. MRI has a better sensitivity but has limited use in the acute trauma patient. Laparoscopy, a minimally invasive approach, has a sensitivity of 88% and specificity approaching 100% for diagnosing diaphragmatic injuries.

**Conclusion**

Penetrating chest trauma can be life-threatening because vital structures are housed within the thoracic cavity. Although much of the management of trauma patients has not changed over the years, better imaging modalities have allowed less invasive and more portable options for diagnosing injuries. Also, advances in resuscitation strategies with massive transfusion protocol, permissive hypotension, and availability of hemoglobin oxygen-carrying products has led to a decrease in morbidity. Regardless of the type of injury, an algorithmic approach to the trauma patient.
ensures a thorough evaluation and effective management strategy.
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